

## Message

**From:** Buckley, Timothy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=197A3461D9824A17850F34CC2B0B37FE-BUCKLEY, TIMOTHY]  
**Sent:** 10/31/2019 8:55:03 PM  
**To:** Montilla, Alex [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b148b5335ff44aea8970035668052f01-Montilla, Alex]; Barrette, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=237b7449b0084c8faea3a38d2bb31ea2-Mbarre04]  
**CC:** Linkins, Samantha [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b7a94aa2975d4933981a8a9bf12aaa40-Linkins, Samantha]  
**Subject:** RE: Question about PFAS beta public tool

I think there are concerns but it seems we have little choice given the timeline. I copied Samantha so she is aware of dilemma/timing issues.

Samantha, can you share with us language as you develop it, e.g. launch page, so that we can review and finalize?

Tim

---

**From:** Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 31, 2019 4:50 PM  
**To:** Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>; Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Question about PFAS beta public tool

Any concerns putting this beta version out there (in ECHO) absent any of the 'context' Samantha is developing which explains the tool and more importantly the limitation(s) of the data? The whole point of the communication effort was to mitigate misinterpretations of the data.

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

am

---

**From:** Andrew Stoeckle <Andrew.Stoeckle@erg.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 31, 2019 4:39 PM  
**To:** Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>; Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>; Yourish, Jesse <yourish.jesse@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Matthew Heyward <Matthew.Heyward@erg.com>; Devin Galloway <devin.galloway@erg.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Question about PFAS beta public tool

### Access to the National PFAS Data Explorer prior to launch.

Getting external reviewers/users to register for ECHOgov and use the existing set-up for restricted viewing of Qlik tools should work fine. There is no risk of disclosure (but someone should test to confirm the firewall is working when the PFAS tool is put there). Also, OSM may need to update the ECHOgov access list daily during that time.

### Overview of development schedule:

- ERG will deliver a beta version in the Qlik development area tomorrow (11/1). This version is frozen. ERG will continue development on the to-be-public version.

- You might want to have staff in ORD, OECA, and other offices confirm they can access the tools once you receive the “hello world” email from Matthew.
- We are expecting that there will be a few weeks of showing the site to management and program staff at EPA.
- After the beta is posted, we will be working on tickets that Amanda left behind, the data refreshes that will happen after NCCT finalizes the PFAS list, replacing text following Samantha and other’ review, and some behind the scenes work that is needed. We also are assembling some decisions for EPA, which may result in additional changes to the Explorer.
- It would be wise to avoid publishing many iterations.
- When you are ready, we would request that OSM copy the latest version (more complete than beta) to EDAP and only allow access to external users who have been granted ECHOgov access.
  - If new signups to ECHOgov are expected as part of this process, OSM would likely need to increase the pace of enabling edap access based on ECHOgov users (it recently took more than two days for AKDEC user).
- Launch: As there may be further updates during this prerelease external review phase, we will expect to replace the edap version with the FINAL version at time of launch, OSM lifts the access restriction , and voila, it is public.

Andrew Stoeckle | VP, Information and Analysis | Eastern Research Group | o. 781.674.7261 c. Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

**From:** Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Thursday, October 31, 2019 1:36 PM

**To:** Andrew Stoeckle <Andrew.Stoeckle@erg.com>; Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>; Yourish, Jesse <yourish.jesse@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Question about PFAS beta public tool

I’ve been asked by Andy Gillespie whether the beta public version could be shared with other Federal partners (and presumably states) prior to launch. Andrew - Can you confirm for Jesse and I whether it is set up currently to allow ECHO Gov security level? If so, we will need to have some email with access instructions (presumably most of the testers are not currently ECHO Gov account holders). If the current set up would need modification to allow this security level, what amount of work would be needed to make this happen? And any risks or issues to note?